

PG. 1 of 5

RECEIVED THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2008 APR 29 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

ALONZO AUSTIN)
Plaintiff,) Case Number.
v.) 3:07-CV-754-MHT.
CITY OF TUSKEGEE et.al.)
Defendant's)

PLAINTIFF OPPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff's, Submits this as Opposition to Defendant's, Motion for Summary Judgment and Supporting brief (Doc. #36), in response to Plaintiff's, Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 35), and as additional Support for its Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc #35), the Plaintiff's relies on the Memorandum of Law below.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

A. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

A Separate Statement of Material Facts has been Submitted. That Statement relies on the affidavit of Plaintiff, ALONZO AUSTIN, together

PL. 2075

With exhibits he has identified, and the affidavits of the Defendants, Bernice Dawson and Chief: Lester Patrick, identified as (Exhibits #2 an*3), of their Motion for Summary Judgment and Supporting brief. (DOC# 36). These items have been filed.

B. LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION

(1) Standard for Summary Judgment.

Summary Judgment is appropriate if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving Party is entitled to Judgment as a matter of Law. Fed. R. C.W. P. 56(C).

(2) THE DEFENDANTS, CITY OF TUSKEGEE et al, HAD NO RIGHT TO STOP PLAINTIFF, ALONZO AUSTIN, OUTSIDE OF "TUSKEGEE'S CITY LIMITS ERECTED-POSTED SIGN," FOR SPEEDING ON JULY 5, 2007, AT 840 AM AND CLAIMING THE SPEED LIMIT AS 45 mph WHEN IN FACT IT WAS 55 PURSUANT TO THE THEN AND NOW POSTED SIGN 55 ALONG SAID STATE HIGHWAY #81 N.

NEAR THE OLD TUSKEGEE OR WESTERN
INN. WHERE STOP OCCURRED.

3. Pursuant to § 32-5A-171 Subsection omitted.
This Alabama statute concerning Maximum
Speeds Limits, states Except when a special
hazard exists that requires lower speed for
compliance with section 32-5A-170, the limits
hereinafter specified or established as hereinafter
authorized shall be maximum lawful speeds,
and no person shall drive a vehicle at a
speed in excess of the maximum limits.

The Municipal Court Convicted Plaintiff,
of Driving 55, the maximum lawful speed
on AL. 81N. outside of the Tuskegee CITY
LIMIT erected - posted sign, on 8/9/07,
and fined same for \$20⁰⁰ plus \$100⁰⁰ Court
Cost, with associated points and other penalties.

4. The Courts Conviction was not based on
the applicable Subsection of the same Statute
§ 32-5A-171(9) which reads ANY Speed Limit
Set pursuant to this Section shall be enforced by any
Municipality, or any law enforcement officer of a Municipality,
only (within) the Corporate Limits of the Municipality
and not (within) the Police Jurisdiction of the Municipality.
(Acts 1980 No 80-434 P. 604 § 8-102; Acts 1987, No 87-408,
P. 593; Acts 1994, No 94-617, P. 1147 § 1; Acts 1996, No 96-577
P. 913 § 1.) Moreover, Defendant's use of Act No. 2004-248
is irrelevant and barred by RES JUDICATA, as a defense.

PG. 40F5

as such, according to §32-5A-171 subsection(9),
The "city of Tuskegee" had no legal authority
to issue traffic tickets outside of the
CITY LIMIT ERECTED- POSTED SIGN" ON AL.
HIGHWAY 81 N. NEAR THE OLD TUSKEGEE
OR WESTERN INN WHERE THE POSTED
SPEED IS 55, THE MAXIMUM LAWFUL SPEED,
VERIFIED BY THE SIGN.

5. Given the Text of the above Cited Law and
Acts associated with same plaintiff, did not violate
§32-5A-171, but these Defendant's did by acting
outside of it and also outside of their Discretionary
Authority in violation of Plaintiff's Constitutional
Rights. as cited within Plaintiff Complaint and its Motion
For Summary Judgment.

WHEREFORE, for the above stated facts the plaintiff,
ALONZO Austin, respectfully request that this
Honorable court Grant its Summary judgment,
for all Claim Prayed for against Defendants
CITY OF TUSKEGEE et, al.

Respectfully Submitted,
by Alonzo Austin, Pro Se
Alonzo Austin, Pro Se
1321 River-Carlis Rd.
Tuskegee, Al. 36083
Ph. # (334) 727-5476

PG. 5 OF 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
VIA certified mail

I do hereby Certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing documents upon the Defendant's

CITY OF TUSKEGEE et, al.

90 NIX, HOLTSFORD, GILLIAND & HITSON P.C.
P.O. Box 4128

MONTGOMERY, AL. 36103-4128

by placing same in the U.S. mail postage Prepaid on this the 28th
OF April, 2008.

Alonzo Austin, Pro se
by. Alonzo Austin pro se

ALONZO AUSTIN

1321 Oliver-Carlis Rd.

Tuskegee, AL. 36083

Ph. # (334) 727-5476